	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/678,573	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer Doan	2874
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is stand MPEP 1308.	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the response filed on	September 1, 2005.	
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-10</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) □ Some* c) □ None of the: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: □ Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. □ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. □ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application. eitted. Note the attached EXA as reason(s) why the oath or	n No in this national stage application from the a reply complying with the requirements MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying Indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1) 	son's Patent Drawing Review . s Amendment / Comment or .84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of a drawings in the front (not the back) of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the first of the deposit of and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT.	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	RIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./I 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's /	
		Tennife-Doan Jennifer Doan Patent Examiner

EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment filed on September 1, 2005 has been fully considered and entered.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Eric S. Hyman on October 14, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 10, line 7, please delete "waveguide," and insert -- waveguide. - -.

Reasons for Allowance

- 3. Claims 1-10 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to disclose or reasonably suggest all the limitations recited in the base claims 1 and 8. Specifically, the prior art of record fails to disclose an apparatus and a method for manufacturing an optical waveguide using laser direct

Art Unit: 2874

writing method, comprising the steps of forming the optical waveguide using a laser beam having Gaussian distribution, wherein the step of forming the optical waveguide includes the step of overlapping at least two laser beams in combination with the other limitations of claims 1 and 8.

Claims 2-7 and 10 depend from claim 1.

Claim 9 depends from claim 8.

After carefully studying, the examiner agrees with applicants' arguments on pages 1 and 2 in the remarks that the reference does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) because it was not "before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent".

In addition, there is no reason or motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the prior art of record to make the invention of claims 1-10. Therefore, claims 1-10 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/678,573

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:00am to 3:30pm, second Friday off.

- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Doan

Tennifer Down

Patent examiner

October 31, 2005